

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE |                                | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/717,429                  | 0/717,429 11/19/2003 Jeffrey B |                      | 42830-10009             | 7009             |
| 25231 7                     | 590 06/08/2006                 | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |
| MARSH, FIS                  | CHMANN & BREY                  | KISHORE, GOLLAMUDI S |                         |                  |
|                             | VAUGHN WAY                     | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |
| SUITE 411                   |                                |                      | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |
| AURORA, CO 80014            |                                |                      | 1615                    |                  |
|                             |                                |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/08/2006 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary  |   | Application      | on No.                                       | Applicant(s)      |        |  |  |  |
|--|---|------------------|--|-------------------|--------|--|--|--|
|  |   | 10/717,42        | 29   | ETTER, JEFFREY B. |        |  |  |  |
|  |   | Examiner         |  | Art Unit          | -      |  |  |  |
|  |   |                  | S. Kishore, Ph.D                             | 1615              |        |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply   | appears on the   | cover sheet with the c                       | orrespondence ad  | Idress |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                  |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |                  |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2   | 7 March 2006     |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
|  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.   |                  |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
| ′=   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |                  |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
| -,   | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |                  |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims  |                  |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
| 4)🛛  | 4) Claim(s) 26-40 and 94-109 is/are pending in the application.   |                  |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
| •  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                  |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
|  | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                  |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
| 6)🖂  | 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-40 and 94-109</u> is/are rejected.   |                  |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |                  |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction an  | d/or election re | equirement.                                  |                   |        |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers   |                  |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
| 9)□  | The specification is objected to by the Exam  | iner.            |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                  |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |                  |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
|  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |                  |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |                  |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
| Priority u   | inder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |                  |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |   |                  |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
| a)ر  | a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |                  |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |                  |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |                  |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
|  | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). |                  |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |                  |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
|  |   |                  |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
| Attachmen  | t(s)  |                  |  |                   |        |  |  |  |
|  | e of References Cited (PTO-892)   |                  | 4) Interview Summary                         |                   |        |  |  |  |
|  | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)<br>nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/  | /08\             | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa |                   | D-152) |  |  |  |
|  | r No(s)/Mail Date   |                  | 6) Other:                                    |                   |        |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/717,429 Page 2

Art Unit: 1615

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The response dated 3-27-06 is acknowledged.

Claims included in the prosecution are 26-40 and 94-109.

Upon consideration, the 103 rejection is withdrawn.

In view of the restriction in the parent application, the double patenting rejection over claims in 6,669,960 is withdrawn.

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

 Claims 26-40 and 94-105 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-55 of U.S.
 Patent No. 6,761,909. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not Application/Control Number: 10/717,429

Art Unit: 1615

patentably distinct from each other because the claims in the patent and instant application are drawn to the same method of preparation of drug-containing particulate product. Instant claims are generic with respect to the ratios of solvents whereas the patented claims recite ratios of 10:90 to 99:1. Instant claims are also generic with respect to the drug. The patented claims recite insulin as the drug. It would have been obvious to vary the amounts of the solvents or use drugs other than insulin in the method of said patent with a reasonable expectation of success. Instant claims also encompass the ratios of the solvents and insulin recited in the claims of said patent and thus anticipate the ratios and the drug.

This rejection is maintained in abeyance of a terminal disclaimer.

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph.D whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/717,429 Page 4

Art Unit: 1615

(571) 272-0598. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM- 4 PM, alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Woodward Michael can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gollamudi S Kishore, Ph.D

Skuler

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1615

GSK